



CZ-SK Informačný deň k výzve EEI 2023

Najčastejšie komentáre hodnotiteľov

9. 2. 2023



EURÓPSKA ÚNIA
Európsky fond regionálneho rozvoja
OP Integrovaná infraštruktúra 2014 – 2020



MINISTERSTVO
ŠKOLSTVA, VEDY,
VÝSKUMU A ŠPORTU
SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY



Národná kancelária Horizontu

Poskytujeme činnosti:

- ✓ **Informačné** (infodni, semináre, konferencie, školenia na mieru)
- ✓ **Poradenské** (vyhľadanie a podrobný popis podmienok výziev, burza partnerov a matchmaking, založenie konta a vloženie žiadosti do F&TP)
- ✓ **Konzultačné** (indiv. konzultácie, pre-screening)
- ✓ **Publikačné** (štatistiky a prehľady, sektorové štúdie, príklady dobrej praxe)
- ✓ **Podporné** (mentoring, vyhľadávanie partnerov do konzorcií)

Excellence

- Objectives
- Methodology
- Challenges
- Research data management

Excellence - objectives

There is good evidence that the **objectives** are achievable, however it is not sufficiently clear how progress will be **measured and verified**.

The **objectives** are appropriately described with adequate metrics to assess their achievement. However, they are not sufficiently **focused on the HEIs from the widening countries**, which is a requirement of the Work Programme.

The proposal defines **objectives** with limited clarity and pertinence **to the Work Programme**. In particular, **the scope of the call** topic is not systematically analysed, nor mapped clearly to relevant actions of **institutional transformation**

Excellence - methodology

The methodology is not sufficiently detailed or convincing concerning the key elements which will be leveraged upon, how the measures are connected, and how the actions will create a critical mass, by sharing R&I strategies, for a long term impact.

The methodology is not sufficiently coherent, in all cases, and it is not fully convincing that it will be effective in delivering all the project objectives

However, the potential **challenges** including the mitigation measures are not sufficiently identified in the proposed methodology

Challenges are not fully identified in the proposal regarding the chosen **methodology** and hence **sufficient mitigation** measures are not included

Excellence – Research data management

The research data management and utilisation arrangements **are insufficiently detailed**, related **objectives** are not fully convincing in their **achievability and measurability**, as they are over-ambitious, which makes them more like strategies, and the proposal **does not provide sufficient information about KPIs**

Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable (“FAIR principles”)

Open science **practices are weakly addressed** as the proposal does not provide information on **respective measures to ensure the implementation and the quality of these practices**

Impact

- Pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts
- Barriers
- Communication, exploitation, dissemination

Impact – pathways to outcomes and impacts

It is not fully convincing that the project outputs and deliverables reflect **institutional transformation**

The pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in **the Work Programme** are credible, convincingly described and well supported by concrete activities

The **pathways** to achieve the **destination's impacts in the long term** are not convincing and only broadly addressed lacking sufficient depth

The proposal **clearly identifies the expected outcomes and impacts**, however, the **information on the pathways to achieve them is limited** and the credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the Work Program is not adequately **justified**, as the proposal **defines** some of them **too broadly**

Impact - barriers

Some potential **barriers** to the expected outcomes and impacts are identified and appropriate **mitigation measures** are proposed. However, several of the **identified barriers are too broad**

Not enough detail is given to certain barriers and **how they would be overcome**. Furthermore, the proposal does not sufficiently take into account **specific barriers** in some science research disciplines

Impact – Dissemination, exploitation, communication

The **communication plan** is appropriate, very detailed and thorough **describing the tools to be used to reach the different target audiences**

A reasonable **communication and dissemination** plan is presented with a diverse set of communication tools. However, the measures to engage citizens are limited

A **very general** dissemination and communication plan is presented. The plan is not sufficiently comprehensive with respect to the target audiences, the objectives, measures to be used and **the metrics to assess** their impact

The **relevant target groups** for dissemination and communication are identified appropriately. However, the communication and dissemination **strategies** are less elaborated

Implementation

- Structure and coherence of WPs, tasks, timetable and allocated resources
- Consortium composition, inter-disciplinarity and expertise
- Risks of implementation

Implementation - Coherence of WPs, tasks, timetable and allocated resources

A **well-structured and logical work plan** is clearly presented with a credible Gantt chart. The comprehensive set of activities including all those in work packages are perfectly **in line with the objectives, expected outcomes and impacts**

The **interaction between WPs is rather limited**. The activities in WP 1-4 are running mostly independently from each other and are not linked through interfaces or interaction

Milestones have not been set beyond month 18, which is not an effective project management approach, as it fails to fully capture other important control points of the project activities

There is **good balance in the contribution of consortium members to the activities** in different work packages

Implementation - Consortium composition, inter-disciplinarity and expertise

Profiles provided for the partners are **not demonstrating the related expertise to execute their tasks** in a convincing manner

The proposal convincingly and effectively shows what **the inter-disciplinary contribution of the participants** is, their expertise in **open sciences** and in **gender aspects** in research and innovation

The **budgets** for several participants **are disproportionately high**. This is questionable as the person-months per participant are relatively equally distributed across the work packages

It is not fully convincing that the project **outputs and deliverables** reflect institutional transformation

Implementation – Risks of implementation

Critical risks are adequately identified and addressed, **except for potential disagreement between the partners**

The **risk assessment** is adequate. Several critical risks are appropriately addressed and appropriate mitigation measures proposed. However, other potential risks, such as the legal or financial are not sufficiently considered

Risk of not reaching a critical mass of users is **underestimated** and the effectiveness of the mitigation measure proposed is not adequately described



Kontakt:

Kveta Papanová

Tel.: +421-917 733 509

E-mail: kvetoslava.papanova@cvtisr.sk

HORIZON EUROPE



EURÓPSKA ÚNIA
Európsky fond regionálneho rozvoja
OP Integrovaná infraštruktúra 2014 – 2020



MINISTERSTVO
ŠKOLSTVA, VEDY,
VÝSKUMU A ŠPORTU
SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY

