



CZ-SK Informačný deň k výzve ERA 2023

Najčastejšie komentáre hodnotiteľov

30. 11. 2022



EURÓPSKA ÚNIA
Európsky fond regionálneho rozvoja
OP Integrovaná infraštruktúra 2014 – 2020



MINISTERSTVO
ŠKOLSTVA, VEDY,
VÝSKUMU A ŠPORTU
SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY



Národná kancelária Horizontu

Poskytujeme činnosti:

- ✓ **Informačné** (infodni, semináre, konferencie, školenia na mieru)
- ✓ **Poradenské** (vyhľadanie a podrobný popis podmienok výziev, burza partnerov a matchmaking, založenie konta a vloženie žiadosti do F&TP)
- ✓ **Konzultačné** (indiv. konzultácie, pre-screening)
- ✓ **Publikačné** (štatistiky a prehľady, sektorové štúdie, príklady dobrej praxe)
- ✓ **Podporné** (mentoring, vyhľadávanie partnerov do konzorcií)

Excellence - objectives

The objectives are **clear and pertinent** to the topic. They target main challenges in the scope of the call realistically. However, the **means** for achieving those objectives **are not sufficiently clearly described**

The **relationship** between the **specific objectives** and the call text **is not convincing** in some areas

The most **measures are not fully aligned** with the **methodological approach**

The proposed objectives and work is ambitious and goes **beyond the state-of-the-art**

The proposal does not adequately outline **the status quo of the partners from the widening countries planning to implement GEPs** in relation to the diverse challenges and complexities identified in terms of historical, political and social context for gender-equality actions.

Excellence - methodology

Challenges are not fully identified in the proposal regarding the chosen methodology and hence sufficient mitigation measures are not included

Although the methodology for achieving the proposal's goals is well presented, it lacks detailed analysis

The proposal clearly sets the theoretical and practical roadmap for the implementation and success of the extensive and challenging set of methodological approaches

Open science practices are weakly addressed as the proposal does not provide information on respective measures to ensure the implementation and the quality of these practices.

Excellence – Research data management

The research data management and utilisation arrangements **are insufficiently detailed**, related **objectives** are not fully convincing in their **achievability and measurability**, as they are over-ambitious, which makes them more like strategies, and the proposal **does not provide sufficient information about KPIs**

The project will be duly **supported from previous or ongoing EU-funded projects and data**, though **engagement** with research and data relevant to the topic

Though the data management procedures and protocols will be organised in accordance with FAIR principles nevertheless, they **have not been sufficiently elaborated in the text**.

Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable (“FAIR principles”)

Impact – on society/ERA/EU

The proposed impact on society is **not fully convincing** in terms of how it will be measured

The proposed impact actions are **not sufficiently narrow** in focus to effectively cause a **change in the related infrastructure** which is crucial to the impact of the work topic

In terms of scale, the potential **impacts are more credible at the local level** where the pilots are run, **but less so at the European level.**

Impact

Pathways to the expected impact

The proposal **clearly identifies the expected outcomes and impacts**, however, the **information on the pathways to achieve them is limited** and the credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work program is not adequately justified, as the proposal **defines** some of them **too broadly**.

Barriers

Some potential barriers to the expected outcomes and impacts are identified and appropriate mitigation measures are proposed. However, several of the **identified barriers are too broad** in scope as they represent more **general issues** that exist in scientific systems

Not enough detail is given to certain barriers and **how they would be overcome**. Furthermore, the proposal does not sufficiently take into account **specific barriers** in some science research disciplines.

Impact – Dissemination, exploitation, communication

The draft plan for dissemination, exploitation and communication activities identifies **very well**:

- the **relevant target audiences** and
- presents **tailored dissemination and exploitation measures for each group**

In terms of dissemination, **quantitative targets** have been very **well identified** e.g. for publications, research applications and training events etc. with clear plans for **what** will be disseminated, **to whom** and **by what means** at each stage of the project

The proposed communication and engagement activities exhibit very well-chosen **indicators** together with key messages to be imparted using a range of **tools and channels**

The **target groups** (policymakers, private sector, scientific community, media, civil society) **are listed**, but **not identified with some precision** and there is little reference on **how the consortium will build on their own networks**.



Implementation - Coherence of WPs, tasks, timetable and allocated resources

Overall the work plan is fairly good and effective. There is **good balance in the contribution of consortium members to the activities** in different work packages

The **structure and timing** of the work packages is **unbalanced**, with **many of the deliverables** having been scheduled for delivery towards **the later end of the project**

Though the interdependencies between the different work packages are well explained and scheduled, the **work organisation and the timing** of each work package **are not convincingly justified**

The proposal convincingly and effectively shows what **the inter-disciplinary contribution of the participants** is, their expertise in **open sciences** and in **gender aspects** in research and innovation.

Implementation - Expertise of the consortium members

The expertise is **highly interdisciplinary**, and the partners **complement each other** well. The **tasks** assigned to them **correspond** to their **professional background**.

All partners have **valid and clearly defined role**, resources allocated to them under particular WP reflect well the amount of effort to be put in

The consortium contains the skills necessary to complete the work proposed. Partners are broadly **complementary** and represent a number of relevant sectors such as **academia, industry, and NGOs**. **Interdisciplinary** aspects are also evident

Implementation – Risks of implementation

Critical risks are adequately identified and addressed, **except for potential disagreement between the partners**

Risk of not reaching a critical mass of users is **underestimated** and the effectiveness of the mitigation measure proposed is not adequately substantiated.

<https://horizoneuropencppportal.eu/store/annotated-template-ia-ria>



Kontakt:

Kveta Papanová

Tel.: +421-917 733 509

E-mail: kvetoslava.papanova@cvtisr.sk

HORIZON EUROPE



EURÓPSKA ÚNIA
Európsky fond regionálneho rozvoja
OP Integrovaná infraštruktúra 2014 – 2020



MINISTERSTVO
ŠKOLSTVA, VEDY,
VÝSKUMU A ŠPORTU
SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY

